

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,847	12/01/2003	Gary F. Goth	POU920030200US1	2181
7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Philmore H. Colburn II			NORMAN, MARC E	
Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield, CT 06002			3744	
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/05/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/724,847	GOTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc E. Norman	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>11 February 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 24-4 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	1 <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 200</u> -						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(770.449)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/03; 2/17/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/724,847

Art Unit: 3744

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClendon et al.

As per claim 13, McClendon et al. teaches a method of determining a proper clock cycle time based on heat load comprising determining a thermal state of a logic module (step 806), the thermal state defined by a temperature range associated with clock speed to be a proper clock cycle time for the temperature range (temperature operating limits of step 808; see also paragraphs 0034 and 0035); determining whether the primary cooling means has been repaired (upon returning through control loop of Figure 8, the system checks in step 802 whether a fan failure still exists (i.e., whether it has been repaired). McClendon et al. does not specifically teach the method being applied to multiple modules. Official notice is taken that applying

McClendon et al. to a system of multiple modules is simply a matter of scale regarding the core conceptual concept that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply to McClendon et al. for the purpose of controlling the cooling more than one module.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClendon et al. as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Corman et al.

As per claim 14, McClendon et al. does not teach turning on a backup fan if the logic modules are above acceptable levels. The general concept of backup fans for use with electronics cooling is well-known in the art, as illustrated by Corman et al. Corman et al. teaches the use of backup fans for cooling avionic electronics in the case of a failure in the primary fans. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply this basic concept of backup fans to the system on McClendon et al. for the purpose of providing redundancy in the case of the failure of the first fan to fully cool the electronics, and thus to provide a level of protection for the electronic circuits (see Corman et al., column 1, lines 64-67; column 2, lines 8-14; etc.).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/724,847 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER